

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.30081 of 2020
Date of Decision :28.09.2020

Priyanka

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present : Mr.Krishan Singh Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.A.A.Pathak, Addl.A.G. Punjab.
(The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing
since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual Court)

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

The petitioner, vide this petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. prays for a direction to the learned Additional Sessions Judge, SAS Nagar, (Mohali) to decide her anticipatory bail application No.BA-1708 of 2020 dated 16.09.2020 (Priyanka Versus State of Punjab) in FIR No.77 dated 10.09.2020, under Sections 420, 465, 468, 471, 115, 506 and 120-B of IPC 1860, registered at Police Station, Nayagaon.

The limited grievance that she has is: that on an earlier two occasions i.e. 16.09.2020 and on 22.09.2020, her bail application was adjourned by the Court without any tangible reasons and even the prayer for interim relief, in the meanwhile, was not considered.

On analysis of the order dated 16.09.2020 (Annexure P-13), passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali, rather reveals that on the said date, upon entrustment of the bail application to the Court, notice was issued to the respondent-State for 22.09.2020 and the

records were called for. And, on the adjourned date i.e. 22.09.2020, for, the prosecution did not produce the records, the matter was adjourned to 30.09.2020, for arguments on request of the learned Additional Public Prosecutor. And the records have been requisitioned for the said date.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, am of the opinion that the matter does not warrant any interference, particularly, at this stage, for, the bail application of the petitioner is posted for 30.09.2020 i.e. after a day. And, this Court is sanguine that on the date fixed the learned Additional Sessions Judge, SAS Nagar, Mohali, who is in seizin of the bail application, shall make every possible endeavour to consider and decide the same particularly considering the nature and urgency in the matter.

The petition is accordingly disposed of.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

28.09.2020

Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No