

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117

CRWP-7768-2020

Date of Decision:28.09.2020

Balwinder Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr. L.S. Lakhanpal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in this criminal writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though the affidavit has been filed by petitioner No.2-Ravita in support of the petition, but power of attorney has been filed by both the petitioners(Balwinder Singh and Ravita).

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are major and have solemnized their marriage in accordance with law.

However, the private respondents are not accepting their marriage and are

adamant to separate them from each other by resorting to illegal means.

Learned counsel further submits that in view of imminent danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners, they have moved a representation dated 23.09.2020(Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, District Gurdaspur.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only at this stage.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Without going into the validity of the marriage of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2 –Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala, District Gurdaspur to take appropriate remedial measures on the representation dated 23.09.2020(Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioners as warranted by law.

In the meantime, necessary order be passed to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

September 28, 2020
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No