

121 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.15564 of 2020
Date of Decision: 28.09.2020**

Anu Piplani

..... Petitioner

Versus

Reliance Home Finance Ltd. and another

..... Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr. S.K.Panwar, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. Akhil Sacher, Advocate
 for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY J. (ORAL)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from the petitioner, has undertaken that out of total outstanding amount, he will deposit 1/3rd within period of one week and the remaining amount will be deposited within a period of three months.

Learned counsel for the respondents contends that the total outstanding amount is Rs.20,78,434/- but just to settle the dispute, he is impressing upon the amount of Rs.20,00,000/-.

Accordingly, in view of undertaken given by learned counsel for the petitioner, 1/3rd of total amount of Rs.20,00,000/- be deposited within week from today and thereafter, 1/3rd amount be deposited within a

period of six weeks. Remaining amount be deposited within a period of six weeks thereafter. The said amount would be subject to verification on the basis of documents supplied to the petitioner. In case, the petitioner fails to deposit the said amount as per undertaking given by her, the respondent-Finance Company is at liberty to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

In case, the petitioner deposits the amount as per her undertaking, the respondents are directed not to take any coercive steps. It is made clear that if there is lapse on the part of the petitioner in any manner, the order passed by this Court will not come on the way of the respondents.

Disposed of.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

September 28, 2020

hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No