

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No. 7774 of 2020  
Date of decision: 28.09.2020.**

Nazia and another ..... Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..... Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab,  
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Manu Loona, Advocate, for respondents No. 4 and 5.

\*\*\*\*

**ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)**

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from respondents No. 4 and 5.

It is stated in the petition that petitioners have performed their marriage, which is against the will of private respondents and they have apprehension that the private respondents might cause physical harm to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation dated 22.09.2020 (Annexure P5) sent by the petitioners to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka (respondent No. 2).

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG,

Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Manu Loona, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No. 4 and 5 and accepts notice. He states that petitioner No. 1 has been forcibly taken away by petitioner No. 2.

Petitioner No. 1, who has been identified by counsel for the petitioners, is present through video conferencing. She states that she is living with petitioner No. 2 on her own accord.

Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of Lata Singh v. State of U.P. and another, JT 2006 (6) SC 173, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka (respondent No. 2) to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

28.09.2020  
Ramesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No