

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.15683 of 2020 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 29.09.2020

Neetu Kumari

.....Petitioner

versus

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present: Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner

..

ALKA SARIN, J.: (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the petitioner's claim that she is eligible for the post of clerk in General Category.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that the only criteria for appointment to the post of Clerk is on the basis of a written examination. It is further contended that the petitioner has secured 65 marks in the written examination and 5 marks are to be given to her as per her socio-economic criteria and, accordingly, she would have secured 70 marks which is more than the marks secured by the last selected candidate. Learned counsel for the petitioner has also relied upon the order passed by this Court in CWP No.14204 of 2020 titled as *Pooja vs. Haryana Staff Selection Commission*, decided on 11.09.2020.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana has joined the session through video conferencing and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for both the parties are *ad idem* that the present writ petition may also be disposed off with a direction to the respondent to treat the present writ petition along with its annexures as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and to decide the same within a period of one month by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed off with a direction to the respondent to consider the claim of the petitioner by treating the present writ petition along with its annexures as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and decide the same within a period of one month from today by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

**(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE**

29.09.2020
parkash

NOTE:

**Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO**