

In virtual Court

CRM-M-29964-2020

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-29964-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.09.2020

Renu @ Rajni Verma and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Kartar Singh, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.313 dated 16.08.2020 under Sections 306, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Dabua, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per allegations in the FIR, which was registered at the instance of Ved Parkash, father of deceased Vijay Singh, it is stated that Vijay Singh was married to Devyani @ Deepu and she had gone to her parental house at Etawah in UP, where she was due to deliver the child. It is further stated in the FIR that after the second child was born to Devyani @ Deepu, Vijay Singh had gone to Etawah on 15.08.2020

to bring his wife and children back, however, he came back alone and later on, he committed suicide by hanging himself with a ceiling fan. It is further submitted that there are no direct allegations against petitioner No.2 Sanjay Verma, who is maternal uncle of wife of deceased except that in the suicide note, it is mentioned that he has extended threats to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has further argued that against petitioner No.1, who is mother-in-law of deceased, there are general allegations that she was not sending her daughter back to her matrimonial home. It is further submitted that in fact, it was a case of matrimonial discord and on account of some depression, the deceased has committed the suicide and there is no evidence of abetment.

Learned State counsel, assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has relied upon the suicide note written by the deceased in his own handwriting, in which there are direct allegations against petitioner No.1/mother-in-law, father-in-law as well as wife of the deceased that they are not sending his wife to her matrimonial home and he was even humiliated and threatened with life. It is also stated in the suicide note that despite his efforts, his wife, who despite her being handicapped, is not ready to join the matrimonial home and his in-laws are harassing him to the extent that he was humbled, humiliated and abetted to commit suicide.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, considering the allegations in the FIR as well as in the suicide note, I find no ground to grant the concession of anticipatory bail to petitioner No.1 Renu @ Rajni Verma, who is mother-in-law of deceased and the petition qua her is dismissed,

In virtual Court

CRM-M-29964-2020

-3-

however, considering the degree of relationship between petitioner No.2 and the deceased, who was maternal uncle of his wife, anticipatory bail qua petitioner No.2 Sanjay Verma is allowed subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

28.09.2020

vishnu

**[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No