

CWP-15378-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15378-2020

Date of decision: 24.09.2020

Laxmi Narayan and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Yashdeep Nain, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari quashing the letter dated 18.09.2020 (Annexure P-8), vide which re-election for the post of President of the District Ex-Services League, Bhiwani, has been scheduled for 25.09.2020.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Gaurav Gulzar Singh Chauhan, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Ashwani Bakshi, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4, and submits that the petitioner has not approached the competent authority especially respondent No.2-Joint Director-cum-District Registrar.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, this Court

CWP-15378-2020

finds that it is a case in which the matter was agitated before the District Registrar of Societies, Rohtak, who vide order dated 03.07.2020 (Annexure P-1), had relegated the parties to the President, Haryana Ex-Serviceman League (HSEL). Thereafter, the President, HESL, informed the District Registrar, vide communication dated 22.07.2020 (Annexure P-3) that the matter had been amicably resolved.

However, there still seems to be a dispute between the elected members and members of the district collegium. A reference has been made to the judgment dated 03.10.2018 rendered by a Coordinate Bench in CWP-18362-2017.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter be relegated to respondent No.2-Joint Director-cum-District Registrar (Firm and Societies), Rohtak, to resolve the issue preferably within a time bound manner.

In view of the above, but without going into the merits of the case, the parties are relegated to respondent No.2, who is requested to resolve the issue of election between the parties preferably within 02 months from the date of receipt of copy of this order, after giving opportunity of hearing to them.

The issue of jurisdiction before respondent No.2 is left open.

Till then, the committee already elected shall continue to work.

Disposed of.

24.09.2020

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No