

216-A

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.29919 of 2020

Date of Decision: 10.12.2020

MANPATI

.....Petitioner

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Gahlawat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Garg, Asstt. A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.130 dated 28.06.2020 registered under Sections 304-B/498-A/34 IPC at Police Station Julana District Jind.

On 28.09.2020, following order was passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court:-

"The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the mother-in-law of the deceased and her case is at par with that of her husband, Jagdish, who has been granted interim protection by this Court, vide order dated 22.09.2020 (Annexure P-3) passed in CRM-M-26093 of 2020.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr.Rajiv Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana who is available on conference call, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. He submits that the status report is required to be filed.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-26093 of 2020 on 10.12.2020.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, she shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting Investigating Officer. She shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Let an affidavit of the Investigating Officer be filed by the State before the next date of hearing.

September 28, 2020
savita

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE"

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner is wife of Jagidsh i.e. petitioner in connected CRM-M No.26093 of 2020. Petitioner being mother-in-law of the deceased has no role to play in the suicide of her daughter-in-law, as her daughter-in-law was under mental stress owing to birth of two premature children on two occasions and her inability to conceive in future. The FIR came to be registered after more than three months of her death. Allegations of demand of dowry and harassment are general in nature. Vide order of even date interim bail granted to the husband of the petitioner has been made absolute.

Learned counsel further submitted that during currency of the interim order 28.09.2020, challan stands presented before the trial Court and the trial Court has granted interim

regular bail to the petitioner.

Filing of challan and grant of interim regular bail have not been disputed by learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Ashok Kumar.

In view of aforesaid factual position, the interim order dated 28.09.2020 is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of.

December 10, 2020
Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No