

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-41226-2018 in/and
CRR-3831-2018 (O&M)**

Date of Decision : 25.02.2020

Harwinder Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present : Mr. Sandeep Godara, Advocate, for
Mr. Satisimran Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (Oral)
CRM-41226-2018

Through this application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, prayer has been made for condonation of delay of 234 days in filing the revision petition.

Heard.

According to petitioner, he could not file the present revision in time on account of assurance of District Attorney that he would file revision against the respondent in due course of time, but, he did not do so.

Having given thoughtful consideration to the aforesaid submissions, this Court finds the instant application merits dismissal for the reasons to follow.

By this time, it is well-settled proposition of law that each day's delay has to be explained in a mathematical manner which has not been done by the applicant.

The petitioner has also not disclosed any of the dates or time on which he allegedly contacted the District Attorney or when

District Attorney in turn assured to file revision and when, it finally

came to the notice of the petitioner that District Attorney was not going to file revision. Even, petitioner has not filed affidavit of District Attorney, who allegedly assured the petitioner that he would file the revision in time, but did not do so. In the absence of any such affidavit and disclosure of specific dates by the petitioner, the story putforth is patently false and concocted.

It seems that initially, the petitioner was satisfied with the verdict of Sessions Court, but lateron, with ill will, filed this petition to take a chance to harass private respondent Nos.2 and 3 may be for some extraneous reasons.

Such type of illegal pleas are being taken since last 2-3 decades by almost every litigant for condonation of delay. Much water has already flown. Now the time has come to deprecate and reject such type of frivolous pleas taken in routine to abuse the process of law.

In view of forgoing reasons, the instant application for condonation of delay is dismissed.

CRR-3831-2018

Since, the application for condonation of delay in filing the revision petition is dismissed, therefore, no separate order is required to be passed in the main petition. The same is also dismissed.

25.02.2020
mamta

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No