

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA  
2020/09/29 10:26  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-29912-2020 (O/M)  
Date of decision : 28.9.2020

Naseem Ahmed ..... Petitioner (s)  
Versus

State of Haryana and others ..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. M.D. Khan, Advocate  
for petitioner.  
Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Additional A.G. Haryana.

-.-

-.-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The case has been taken up by way of Video Conference as per instructions in view of COVID-19 pandemic.

The allegations in petition are that respondent No. 4 (Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat Mohammad Bas alias Bachaka has committed irregularities in the implementation of MANREGA Scheme. In this regard, petitioner has submitted complaint dated 8.5.2020 (Annexure P-1) to SHO, Police Station Puhana (Nuh) but no action thereon has been taken.

Learned State counsel on the other hand states that if petitioner submits a complaint of irregularities in implementation of MANREGA Scheme to BDPO, Puhana, he would look into same and take such action as may be required.

Without commenting anything on the merits of case and opining on the veracity of alleged irregularities, this petition is disposed of with a direction that if such a complaint is submitted to BDPO, Puhana, giving details of alleged irregularities, he would enquire into same and take such action as may be required.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)  
JUDGE

28.9.2020

sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No