

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRM-M-30072 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: December 14, 2020

Harmesh Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG Punjab.

Mr. L.S. Mann, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of DDR No.3 dated 18.12.2019, under Sections 323, 324, 379-B, 341, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code (Section 326 of IPC added later on) in FIR No.213 dated 18.12.2019 registered under Sections 379-B, 323, 341, 506, 427, 148, 149 Indian Penal Code (Section 325 of IPC added later on) at Police Station Mehatpur, District Jalandhar Rural (Annexure P/2) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P/2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-respondent No.2 on the allegations that the accused-petitioners gave

beatings to him. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate First Class at Nakodar, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from anyone and the same is genuine one.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has

been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and DDR No.3 dated 18.12.2019, under Sections 323, 324, 379-B, 341, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code (Section 326 of IPC added later on) in FIR No.213 dated 18.12.2019 registered under Sections 379-B, 323, 341, 506, 427, 148, 149 Indian Penal Code (Section 325 of IPC added later on) at Police Station Mehatpur, District Jalandhar Rural and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners herein.

December 14, 2020
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No