

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7788-2020

Date of decision:-29.9.2020

Sharmila Kumari and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.S.K. Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

...

H. S. Madaan, J. (Oral)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

It is stated that petitioner No.1 – Sharmila Kumari, aged about 23 years has got married with petitioner No.2 – Ajay Kumar, aged about 31 years against wishes of her family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 and 5, who are threatening to interfere in their marital life, as such to seek protection in that regard, they have approached this Court by way of filing the present petition.

It is further stated that the petitioners had submitted a written representation before respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot dated 22.9.2020 seeking protection, copy of the same being Annexure P5 but no action has been taken thereon.

Of course nobody can be allowed to take law into his hands and to indulge in illegal activities.

Notice of motion to respondent -State.

Ms.Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

The petition is disposed of directing respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot to look into the representation Annexure P5, assess threat perception to the petitioners and then take suitable action in the matter, if so required.

However, this order shall not validate the marriage between the petitioners and shall not have any effect on any civil or criminal action to which the petitioners may be found liable, under the law.

29.9.2020
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No