

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2020.09.29 10:27
Attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-29987-2020 (O/M)
Date of decision : 28.9.2020

Priya

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Banga, Advocate
for petitioner.

Mr. S.P.S. Tinna, Additional A.G. Punjab.

-. -

-. -

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The case has been taken up by way of Video Conference as per instructions in view of COVID-19 pandemic.

Petitioner is wife of respondent No. 5 and respondent No. 6 is brother of respondent No. 5. There is a matrimonial dispute between petitioner and her husband. In this regard, compromise dated 10.6.2020 (Annexure P-6) was entered into between petitioner and respondent No. 5. In terms of said compromise entered into between parties, all disputes between the parties stand resolved and respondent No. 5 was to take petitioner to matrimonial home. The father of petitioner also paid an amount of Rs. 16,00,000/- to respondent No. 5 to enable him to settle in life. However, respondent No. 5 and his family is not willing to honor said compromise. It is alleged that at the instance of father in law of respondent No. 6 who happens to be Sub Inspector, petitioner is being repeatedly called by Deputy Superintendent of Police (respondent No. 3) to police station to agree for divorce with respondent No. 5. In this regard, petitioner has submitted representation dated 19.8.2020 (Annexure P-4) addressed amongst others to Senior Superintendent of Police, Nawanshahr, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar (respondent No. 2) but no action thereon has been taken.

Without commenting anything on the merits of case and opinion on the veracity of allegations contained in representation, this petition is disposed of with a direction to Senior Superintendent of Police, Nawanshahr, Shaheed Bhagat Singh Nagar (respondent No. 2) to look into representation and take such action as may be warranted in law.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

28.9.2020

sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No