

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M- 29635-2020

Date of Decision : September 25, 2020

Mahender and another

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

.... RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

Present: Mr. Naveen Kashyap, Advocate, for the petitioners.
 Ms. Mahima Yashpal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.
 Mr. S.S. Bairagi, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

...

Sanjay Kumar, J.

The petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.140 dated 01.06.2020 on the file of Police Station Barauda, District Sonapat, registered under Sections 285 and 506 IPC and Sections 25 and 29 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 54 and 59 of the Arms Act, 1959, are shown in the FIR but they obviously refer to the fact that the Arms Act, 1959 is Act No.54 of 1959. As such, Sections 54 and 59 do not even exist in this enactment). The basis for their seeking this relief is the compromise dated 15.06.2020, whereby they claim to have settled their differences with the 2nd respondent

Herein, the complainant at whose behest the said FIR was registered.

Mr. S.S. Bairagi, learned counsel, entered appearance for the 2nd respondent-complainant and filed his Power of Attorney. He confirms the factum of the compromise and states that his client has no objection to the quashing of the subject FIR.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, would confirm that though no accused was named in the FIR, the petitioners are the only two accused who were implicated in the offence after investigation. She would further state that the challan has already been presented before the jurisdictional criminal Court but no other FIR has been registered against the petitioners.

In that view of the matter, even if this case is taken to its logical conclusion, it would only be an exercise in futility as the parties have already settled their differences. Therefore, no purpose would be served in wasting the time and resources of the State in pursuing this case to its pre-ordained end. It would therefore be better to give a quietus to the issue at this stage.

The petition is allowed. FIR No.140 dated 01.06.2020 on the file of Police Station Barauda, District Sonipat, registered under Sections 285 and 506 IPC and Sections 25 and 29 of the Arms Act, 1959 and all other proceedings relating thereto shall accordingly stand quashed.

September 25, 2020
Kang

(Sanjay Kumar)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No