

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29405-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 23.09.2020

Yasar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr.Mohammad Arshad, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Matter has been taken up through Video Conferencing via Webex facility in the light of the COVID-19 situation and as per instructions.

This is the fourth attempt made by the petitioner in terms of filing the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking concession of anticipatory bail in FIR No.189 dated 10.08.2013 under Sections 395, 397, 506 IPC and 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Ferozepur Jhirka, District Nuh.

Counsel would argue that name of the petitioner does not figure in the FIR. Allegations were against eight persons and out of which four persons having faced trial have already earned acquittal. Petitioner has been nominated only on the basis of a disclosure statement of a co-accused.

Having heard counsel at length, this Court is of the considered view that the prayer made in the instant petition does not merit acceptance.

FIR relates to the year 2013. Prayer for anticipatory bail was declined by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Mewat on 01.07.2015. The first petition preferred by the petitioner seeking anticipatory bail i.e. CRM-M-25119-2015 was permitted to be withdrawn as per statement of counsel vide order dated 31.07.2015. The second petition filed by the petitioner i.e. CRM-M-9285-2016 was dismissed by this Court in the light of order dated 13.05.2016.

Petitioner has been evading the process of law and has not joined investigation all this while.

Conduct of the petitioner disentitles him from the concession of anticipatory bail.

Petition dismissed.

23.09.2020
dss

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No