

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29539-2020
Date of Decision: 24.09.2020**

Shehru

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mohd. Salim, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

1. Notice of motion.
2. Mr. Anmol Malik, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana to accept notice on behalf of the State. Let a copy of the Paperbook be supplied to him.
3. Perused the impugned order dated 01.03.2018 (Annexure P-2), also perused the previous order, after downloading the same from the Court Server.
4. There is no serious infirmity in the order as to hold it illegal or irregular, since it transpires that it was preceded by issuance of the process of proclamation under Section 82 of the Cr.P.C., after which the statement of executing Constable was recorded on the previous date. To that extent, this Court finds no merit in the present petition.
5. In view of the averment made in Para No.3 of the petition to the extent that the petitioner's absence from the Court proceedings was on account of a misunderstanding inasmuch as a compromise talk was going on

with the complainant, the petitioner is granted liberty to surrender before the Ld. Trial Court within two working days from today, in which event his prayer for bail, if so made, may be considered sympathetically by the Ld. Trial Court.

6. Disposed off.

24.09.2020

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether reportable: Yes/No