

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

CRM-M-29528-2020

DATE OF DECISION: SEPTEMBER 24, 2020

Reema Kumari

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Fatehjeet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court with a grievance that she and his family members are being time and again harassed and called to the Police Station with a further threat to implicate them in some false case. This is being done at the behest of Gyan Ishwar ASI-respondent No.5, who has a personal grudge against the petitioner. It is mentioned that in the year 2017, ASI Gyan Ishwar had got registered a false FIR No.160, dated 12.08.2017 under the NDPS Act at Police Station Maqsoodan, District Jalandhar against Dalip Singh, husband of the petitioner. Said ASI Gyan Ishwar was the Investigating Officer. Demand for bribe was put forth to the petitioner. Apart from this demand, there were other allegations also, which have been pointed out.

This Court, vide order dated 27.04.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed in Criminal Misc. M No.47579 of 2017 (Dalip Singh Vs. State of Punjab), handed over the investigation of the case to the Central Bureau of Investigation. CBI, on investigation, found the case against the petitioner to

be false, resulting in registration of FIR against ASI Gyan Ishwar under Sections 7, 13(2) read with Section 13(1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and under Sections 354-A, 376 and 506 IPC. It is because of this that respondent No.5 was placed under suspension. Now he is threatening the petitioner and her family members to either compromise the matter or they would be involved in false cases.

Highlighting this aspect, especially the harassment, which is being faced by the petitioner and her family, representation dated 21.08.2020 (Annexure P-6) has been submitted to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar-respondent No.3 but till date no action thereon has been taken.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner at this stage would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar-respondent No.3 to consider the representation dated 21.08.2020 (Annexure P-6) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law within some specified time.

Without going into the merits of the case and commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar-respondent No.3 to look into the representation dated 21.08.2020 (Annexure P-6) and if some substance is found therein to take appropriate steps in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

September 24, 2020
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No