

202.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-29715-2020

Date of decision: 15.12.2020.

SHEHBAZ KHAN

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Vipul Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana,
for respondent No.1.

Ms. Sunita Gupta, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.264 dated 07.12.2019 registered under Sections 323, 384, 450, 506, 120-B of IPC and under Section 6 of POCSO Act at Women Police Station, NIT, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 25.09.2020 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Sushila, does not dispute the aforesaid fact, however, submits that recovery of one silver bangle is yet to be effected from the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the complainant has no objection in case the petitioner is admitted on anticipatory bail as she has solemnized marriage with the petitioner and both are staying together.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the admitted position where the petitioner has solemnized marriage with the complainant and they are staying together as husband and wife, the present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 25.09.2020 is made absolute.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

15.12.2020
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No