

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

115

CRM-M-30470-2020

Date of Decision:30.09.2020

AU SMALL FINANCE BANK LIMITED

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Ms. Deepika Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

The Court has been convened through video conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a bank and in pursuance to order dated 13.06.2019, Annexure P-2, passed by respondent No.2 under Section 14 of Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002, it took over the physical possession of the immovable asset secured by borrower-respondents No.5 to 8. However, during the lockdown period, the borrower broke open the locks of the immovable property and forcibly re-entered into the same. A complaint has been submitted to the concerned SHO. The petitioner submitted a representation/complaint dated 06.08.2020, Annexure P-7, to respondent No.3-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Panchkula, but no action has been taken.

Without expressing any opinion on the allegations made in the petition or in the representation/complaint dated 06.08.2020, Annexure P-7, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Panchkula-respondent No.3 to examine the same and thereafter to take appropriate steps as warranted in accordance with law.

A copy of this order along with copy of the representation/complaint dated 06.08.2020, Annexure P-7, be sent to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Panchkula (Haryana)-respondent No.3 so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.

30.09.2020

*sheetal***(SUVIR SEHGAL)**
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No