

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Heard through VC)

CRM-M-29615 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: December 14, 2020

Monika and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Lek Raj Nandal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, DAG Haryana.

Mr. K.L. Saini, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.176 dated 16.06.2018 registered under Sections 406, 420 of Indian Penal Code (Sections 120-B, 506 of IPC added later on) at Police Station Aryan Nagar, Rohtak (Annexure P/1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure P/2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-respondent No.2. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial court/Illaq Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate Ist Class at Rohtak stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from anyone and the same is genuine one.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.176 dated

16.06.2018 registered under Sections 406, 420 of Indian Penal Code (Sections 120-B, 506 of IPC added later on) at Police Station Aryan Nagar, Rohtak and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners herein.

December 14, 2020
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No