

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29260 of 2020 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision: 23.09.2020**

Narender Singh @ Ninder

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA**

Present : Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.  
**(Presence marked through video conference).**

**ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)**

This is petitioner's third foray before this Court in a short span of one month, inasmuch as, first anticipatory bail petition was dismissed vide order dated 28.08.2020 followed by dismissal of the second vide order dated 07.09.2020. And yet, without any change of circumstance, the third petition has been preferred by the petitioner.

Though learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is a change of circumstance, inasmuch as, challan has already been filed after the investigation and no further investigation is to be conducted.

The said argument is being noticed only to be rejected, as there is no question of challan having filed qua the petitioner, since he never joined the investigation. In order to avoid default bail to the co-accused, investigation has been completed qua him and, challan has been filed only to that limited extent with liberty to file supplementary challan against the petitioner. The only changed circumstance, therefore, seems to be change of learned counsel for the petitioner.

No grounds are made out to interfere.

Dismissed.

**SEPTEMBER 23, 2020**

Vandana

**(ARUN MONGA)**

**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No