

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

(214)

CRM-M-29678-2020

Date of Decision: October 26, 2020

Sushila

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Reply on behalf of the respondents has been filed by the learned State counsel and the same is taken on record.

Present petition has been filed by the petitioner for issuance of appropriate direction to respondent No.2 for handing over the investigation of FIR No.128 dated 20.08.2020 under Sections 302, 307, 447, 511, 148, 149, 120-B of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Bahawala, District Fazilka to any other independent agency like Crime Branch as the petitioner did not have faith with the present investigating agency who was working under respondent No.4-Station House Officer of Police Station Bahawala, District Fazilka.

The respondents have filed the reply and in the reply, it has been mentioned that Special Investigation Team has been constituted to verify the investigation and to consider the representation dated 06.09.2020 (Annexure P-11) in which the same prayer, as being made before this Court, is made.

Once, a Special Investigation Team has already been constituted, the petitioner is free to make a representation to the said Special Investigation Team in case, he has any grievance with regard to the conduct of investigation in respect of FIR No.128 dated 20.08.2020.

Learned counsel for the respondents-State submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioner raising any grievance, including preserving of the CCTV footage and other details, the same will be dealt with in accordance with law, by the Special Investigation Team.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents-State recorded above, the petitioner does not wish to press this petition any further, at this stage.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of having been not pressed, at this stage.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

October 26, 2020
harsha/naresh.k

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No