

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16246-2020

Date of decision: 6.10.2020

EMCIPI ELECTRONICS PVT. LTD.

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI**

Present: Mr.AM Dhar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr.Raman Sharma, Addl.AG, Haryana

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

The matter has been taken up through video-conferencing in the light of the pandemic Covid-19 situation and as per instructions.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.1 to 3 to issue completion certificate for Block -B i.e. Delta Square, IFFCO Chowk, Gurugram, Haryana against the licence fees, during the validity period of the licence, which is due to the department.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is ready and willing to pay all the outstanding amount due to it in accordance with the rules.

He further submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied, in case the respondents are directed to take final view on the representation dated 14.8.2020 (Annexure P9) within a specified time.

A complete set of paper book has already been handed over to the learned State counsel.

Learned State counsel does not oppose the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner. He further submits that respondent No.2- Director General, Department of Town and Country Planning, Haryana is the competent authority to decide the matter.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director General, Department of Town and Country Planning, Haryana to consider and decide the representation dated 14.8.2020 (Annexure P9) in accordance with law expeditiously preferably within four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to it, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to it, within a period of four weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

No coercive action be taken against the petitioner till the decision on the representation dated 14.8.2020 (Annexure P9).

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

[VIVEK PURI]
JUDGE

6.10.2020
gsv

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No