

CWP-11493-2016

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-11493-2016

Date of Decision: 15th January, 2020

Parkash Ram & others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. M.K. Tiwari, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have approached this Court praying for regularization of their services in the light of the Policy dated 18.03.2011 (Annexure P-1).

The factual assertion made by the petitioners with regard to the period for which they have worked with the respondents as also for the days, stands denied by the respondents in the reply which has been filed by them.

Counsel for the petitioners states that the respondents may be directed to produce the muster-roll for the period for which the petitioners are claiming that they had worked with the respondents for 240 days in each of the calendar years.

The said plea of the counsel for the petitioners cannot be accepted in the light of the disputed facts of the case. This Court would not

CWP-11493-2016

be in a position to go into the muster-roll for accepting or rejecting the pleas which are likely to be taken by the parties.

In the light of the above, there being disputed questions of facts, which require to be established on the basis of the evidence to be produced, the petitioners are relegated to avail of their remedy in accordance with law before the appropriate forum. The Competent Authority shall make an endeavour to decide the claim of the petitioners at an early date.

Disposed of in above terms.

15th January, 2020
Harish

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No