

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29662-2020
Date of decision : 25.09.2020**

Ajit Singh @ Ajit @ Nanni

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No. 02 dated 08.01.2020, registered under Sections 307, 323, 324, 506, 148 and 149 of the IPC at Police Station City Nakodar, District Jalandhar (Rural).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of complainant Amrit Pal Singh, it is stated that petitioner Ajit Singh @ Nanni, Rohit Dhir @ Zharia, Jasvir @ Jassa and Naveen @ Billa, by forming an unlawful assembly, caused multiple injuries on the face and head of the complainant. As per further allegations, petitioner instructed other accused persons that complainant should not be spared, upon which, accused Rohit Dhir hit on the head and nose of the complainant with his *Datar* and accused Jasvir @ Jassa gave injuries on the head of the complainant and accused Naveen @ Billa gave injuries on the left eye, chin and lips of the complainant and then

petitioner Ajit Singh @ Nanni took the *Datar* from Jasvir @ Jassa and gave a blow on complainant's mouth. Thereafter, all the accused persons fled away from the spot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that complainant was taken to a private hospital at Jalandhar, where the doctor reported total 09 injuries on complainant and except injury Nos. 4 and 5, all the injuries were reported to have been caused by sharp edged weapons. Thereafter, the present FIR was registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that there is no specific opinion that any injury was declared dangerous to life as it was a case of free fight, in which the petitioner also suffered injuries.

In reply, learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that as per the report of the hospital, all the injuries were on the face and head of the complainant and he has suffered multiple fractures on his face and 04 injuries have been reported on the head of the complainant.

Learned State counsel further submits that the incident took place on 04.01.2020 and the complainant was admitted in hospital, where he was declared unfit to make statement and on 07.01.2020, the complainant again could not give his statement and it is only 08.01.2020, when the complainant made his statement and thereafter, the present FIR was registered, therefore, the delay in lodging the FIR is duly explained with the medical evidence.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, without commenting on the merits of the case, considering the serious allegations against the petitioner that he, along with other co-accused, has caused

multiple injuries to complainant, this Court finds no ground to grant concession of anticipatory bail to him.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

25.09.2020
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No