

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16605 of 2019
Date of Decision : 21.01.2020

Anju ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Mr. Balwan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

1. Result of the petitioner for the post of Mandi Supervisor-cum-Fee Collector, under category No.17 against advertisement No.07/2015, has been produced in sealed cover along with cut off marks of the last candidate selected in the general category. On opening of the sealed cover, the petitioner has been found to have secured '136' out of '225' marks as against the last candidate selected in the general category having secured '154' marks.

2. Result of the petitioner as produced by learned Sr. DAG as also the cut off marks as per the final result declared by the Commission, has been shown to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that he does not wish to pursue the writ petition any further and he may be permitted to withdraw the same.

3. In view of the position as noted above, the writ petition is permitted to be withdrawn. Result as has been produced by the learned Sr. DAG be resealed and be returned to the learned Sr. DAG.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

21.01.2020.
rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No