

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

268

**CRM-M-26740-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.01.2020.**

Directorate of Enforcement, Chandigarh

...Petitioner.

Versus

Aman Kirpal

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Arvind Moudgil, Sr. Panel Counsel of Govt. of India
for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Kapoor, Advocate for the respondent.

Suvir Sehgal, J. (Oral)

Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 06.06.2019 (Annexure P1) passed by Special (PMLA) Court, Chandigarh, whereby the respondent was granted permission to go abroad in the month of June, 2019 and had to return to India by 01.07.2019.

Vide order dated 11.06.2019 passed by Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, operation of impugned order dated 06.06.2019 was stayed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the present petition has become infructuous by an efflux of time.

However, learned counsel for the respondent submits that in case, the respondent intends to go abroad in future, he will move a fresh application before learned Special Judge and that application be decided on its merit.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

Needless to mention, it is always open to the respondent to file fresh application for seeking permission to go abroad, which will be decided in accordance with law.

Since the main petition has become infructuous, no orders are called for in the pending applications.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

28.01.2020
komal

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No