

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114)

CRWP no.7546 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.09.2020

Preetinder Singh Mansahiya

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Satbir Gill, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Saurabh Khurana, DAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

All cases listed today have been taken up for hearing by way of video conferencing because of the situation existing due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

By this petition filed under the provisions of Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondents no.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family, at the hands of respondent no.4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent no.4 has forcibly entered the petitioners' house, with him and the petitioners' wife, i.e. respondent no.5, being in an illicit relationship with each other (as alleged).

Learned counsel submits that in respect of his grievance, the petitioner has made a representation/complaint to the Sr. Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, and the SHO, Police Station City Sangrur, copies of which have been annexed as Annexures P-1 and P-2 with the petition, despite which no action has been taken.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the SSP, Sangrur and the SHO, Police Station City, Sangrur, to look into the grievance of the petitioner and if any cognizable offence is found to be made out with regard to forcible entry of respondent no.4 in the petitioners' house, or if any other cognizable offence is made out, action as per law would be taken.

Naturally, the petitioners' life and liberty would also be duly protected as per law.

23.09.2020**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)**

vcgarg

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes

Whether Reportable :No