

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29100 of 2020
Date of Decision:-29.10.2020**

Jasbir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Through Video Conferencing

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of direction to the official respondents for conducting fair and partial enquiry in case FIR No.272 dated 21.11.2019, under Sections 323, 325, 506, 341, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala and to register a cross case against respondents No.4 to 6 on the basis of injuries received by the petitioner and his father.

Notice in the present case was issued to the State of Punjab on 22.9.2020 in order to enable the State to file the reply.

A short reply has been filed by way of affidavit of Jaspreet Singh Sidhu, IPS, Senior Superintendent of Police, District Kapurthala on

behalf of State and the same is directed to be taken on record.

As per the aforesaid affidavit it has been stated that the petitioner i.e. Jasbir Singh, Shingara Singh (father of petitioner) and Kanwaljit Kaur also suffered injuries but due to illegal and negligent action of the investigating officer and the SHO, the cross version/ cross case was not registered against the accused and this negligence of the investigating officer and SHO was brought in the knowledge of the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala then immediately the said investigating officer was suspended from the service and departmental inquiry has been initiated against him and show-cause notice was issued to the Inspector Sarbjit Singh, SHO, Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi as well. Further, DDR No.35 dated 02.10.2020 was recorded against Gurjinder Singh, Baldev Singh, Gurmukh Singh (respondents No.4 to 6), under Sections 323, 325, 506, 34 IPC. Thereafter, all the accused were arrested and bail was granted by police on 02.10.2020.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that after going through the contents of the affidavit, he is satisfied with the action now taken by the police authorities in this regard and therefore in view of the said affidavit, the petitioner does not wish to proceed with the present case.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

October 29, 2020
Vijay Asija

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No