

220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-29037-2020
Date of Decision: 27.11.2020**

Ankur Gupta

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana,
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Chand Ram Olla, Advocate,
for respondent No.2/Complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioner, who is the accused in F.I.R No.388, dated 26.05.2015, under Sections 498-A, 323 & 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City Jind, District Jind (Annexure P-1), has prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings including the Charge Sheet under Sections 498-A, 323 and 506 of the IPC (Annexure P-2), on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by her. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jind, vide report dated 23.10.2020,

has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondent No.2 is represented by her Counsel through Video Conferencing, who does not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jind, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has herself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R No.388, dated 26.05.2015, under Sections 498-A, 323 & 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station City Jind, District Jind (Annexure P-1), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom including the Charge Sheet under Sections 498-A, 323 and 506 of the IPC, are hereby quashed qua the present petitioner.

27.11.2020

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

2. Whether reportable: No