

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7470-2020

Date of decision : 22.09.2020

Meenakshi and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

(Heard through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Additional A.G., Haryana.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA (ORAL)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 and other relatives because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in the petition that the petitioners have already moved a representation (Annexure P-5) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar on 17.09.2020, in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Additional A.G., Haryana, at the asking of the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-5).

Learned counsel for the State has no objection for the same.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage, as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar, is hereby directed to look into the said representation (Annexure P-5) and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

This petition stands disposed of.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

22.09.2020
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No