

CWP No.14917 of 2020

Date of Decision :21.09.2020

Mahender Yadav

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Baljeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to the outbreak of Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Learned counsel contends that transfer of the petitioner vide order Annexure P-6, dated 15.09.2020 is in derogation of the transfer policy Annexure P-1 dated 30.07.2020 and despite the petitioner having submitted representation Annexure P-7 dated 15.09.2020, the same has not been decided till date. Learned Counsel states that the petitioner would be satisfied, if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation in a time bound manner.
3. Notice of motion to respondent No 2 only.
4. Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No 2 and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. In the light of the position as noticed above and without commenting upon the merits of the controversy or the entitlement of the petitioner to the relief claimed, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation Annexure P-7 dated 15.09.2020 after taking into account all aspects of the matter as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Till such time that decision is taken on the representation, respondent No. 2 may consider the feasibility of retaining the petitioner at his existing station.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

September 21, 2020
'ps-Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>