

GURNAM SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. J.P.Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

*(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on account of Covid-19 Pandemic).***VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)**

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that respondent No.4 has deceitfully extracted money from the petitioner on the false pretext of providing job to his son. He has already submitted a representation dated 29.06.2020 (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.2 but no action has been taken thereupon. However, it has been stated that the name of respondent No.4 has been wrongly mentioned as Sandeep Singh in the subject of the representation instead of Pardeep Singh and the correct name finds mentioned in the body of the application.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Without making any further observations with regard to the genuineness of the allegations, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievance of the petitioner as projected through a complaint dated 29.06.2020 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law.

24.09.2020

renubala

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No