

220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.14928 of 2020

Date of Decision: 07.10.2020

RAJESH AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Vs

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Ravi Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Deipa Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Petitioners have preferred this writ petition for the issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari for quashing of order dated 17.12.2019 passed by the Commissioner of Police, Gurugram.

The representation of the petitioner was rejected by the Commissioner of Police, Gurugram vide the aforesaid order dated 17.12.2019 on the premise that subject matter was under consideration with the Government at that time.

Learned counsel for the petitioners relied upon letter/memo dated 04.09.2020 issued by the Addl. Chief Secretary to Govt. Haryana, Home Department vide which the Government has reconsidered the benefit of reservation in NGO's Cadre (ASI to Inspector) in Police Department.

In pursuance of the aforesaid decision the petitioners have already moved a representation to the Addl. Chief Secretary, Government of Haryana, Home Department, Haryana and the Director General of Police Haryana, on 30.01.2020, but the same has not been decided so far.

At the time of issuance of notice of motion, learned counsel for the petitioners relied upon ratio of **Jarnail Singh and others vs. Lachhmi Narain Gupta and others, 2018(4) SCT 445.**

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would be satisfied in case their representation dated 30.01.2020 filed before respondent No.1 is ordered to be decided in accordance with law and the instructions prevailing as on date.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, I am of the view that this petition can be disposed of at this stage by directing respondent No.1 to decide the representation dated 30.01.2020 filed by the petitioner in accordance with law preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Ordered accordingly.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

October 07, 2020

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No