

122 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.15578 of 2020
Date of Decision: 28.09.2020**

M/s DCM Nouvelle Ltd.

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

**Present: Mr. Kamal Sawhey, Advocate and
Ms. Shashi Mathews, Advocate, for the petitioner.**

DAYA CHAUDHARY J. (ORAL)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that IGST refund for export is still pending and the same is not being decided. He further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied, if the claim of the petitioner would be decided within a reasonable period.

Notice of motion.

Notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 8 has been accepted by Mr. Sourabh Goel, Senior Standing Counsel.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the claim of the petitioner will be decided within the time prescribed by this Court

Without going into the merits of the case, we dispose of this petition with a direction to respondent No.8 to consider the claim of the petitioner and take necessary action in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks, from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case, the presence of the petitioner is required for any clarification, then the petitioner be called.

Disposed of.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

September 28, 2020
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No