

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

109

(PROCEEDINGS THROUGH V.C.)

CRM-M-29278-2020

DATE OF DECISION: SEPTEMBER 23, 2020

Akashdeep Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Tarun Vir Singh Lehal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this court with a grievance that he is being regularly apprehended by the concerned area Station House Officer because there are cases, which have been registered, where he is being nominated by the co-accused in the NDPS cases. The said cases have ultimately been found to be not relatable to the petitioner. Because of this harassment, the petitioner had been approaching the Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala by moving representations, one of which is dated 16.06.2020 (Annexure P-3).

Counsel for the petitioner states that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to file a detailed representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala-respondent No.2, within a period of one week, which may

be considered and a decision taken thereon within some specified time.

Without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala-respondent No.2 that if such a representation is submitted by the petitioner within a period of one week from today, the same shall be considered and appropriate decision taken thereon within a period of six weeks of submission of such representation.

September 23, 2020
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No