

**(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing on account of
Covid-19 Pandemic)**

CRM-M-28818-2020

Date of Decision: 22.09.2020

MONU

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Amit Kumar Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

It has been stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that an FIR bearing No.443 dated 29.07.2020 under Sections 337 and 338 IPC has been registered at the instance of the petitioner. He further contends that the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 11.08.2020 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.5 for getting the negligence report by constituting a Board so that no guilty person can go scot-free.

Notice of motion to official respondents only.

Mr. Amrik Singh Narwal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of official respondents and it has been pointed out by him that arrest of respondents No. 2 and 3 has been effected and they have been released on bail as the offences are bailable. It has been further stated by learned State counsel that an appropriate decision on the aforesaid representation dated 11.08.2020 (Annexure P-3) shall be taken by respondent No.5, in accordance with law.

Without making any comment on the genuineness of the allegations, as put forth in the aforesaid representation, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5 to look into the grievance of the petitioner, as projected in aforesaid representation, in accordance with law.

22.09.2020

smriti

(VIVEK PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No