

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14987-2020

Date of decision:-21.9.2020

Savitri Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Harshit Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner – Savitri Devi aged about 61 years having retired as Sweeper from services of Municipal Council, Sangrur – respondent No.2 on 31.10.2019 has filed the instant Civil Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India against respondents i.e. State of Punjab through Secretary Local Bodies, Department of Local Government and Municipal Council, Sangrur for issuance of an appropriate writ/order or direction to respondents to release the entire retiral benefits of Rs.6,90,917/- to the petitioner.

According to the petitioner, she has approached respondent No.2 several times for payment of her dues and had submitted

representations Annexures P2 and Annexure P3 but no action has been taken.

Heard.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and in order to avoid unnecessary litigation between the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider the representations Annexure P2 and Annexure P3 so moved by the petitioner as per law and rules and in case it is found that some amount is payable by respondent No.2 to the petitioner, then the necessary payment be made along with interest @ 6% per annum, from the date the amount become due till the date of final payment, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Since the writ petition is not being disposed of on merits, the petitioner may take recourse to the legal remedy, in accordance with law, in case she still feels aggrieved by the decision taken by the respondents on her representations.

21.9.2020
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No