

111 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.7474 of 2020
Date of Decision: 22.09.2020**

Suman and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3-State.

Mr. Mukesh Singla, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

(Heard through Video Conferencing)

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA J. (ORAL)

By way of instant petition, the petitioners have sought the indulgence of this Court for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8 because they (petitioners) have solemnized their marriage against the wishes of these respondents. It has also been mentioned in the petition that the petitioners have already moved a representation (Annexure P-7) to respondent No.2-the Superintendent of Police, District Ambala, on 16.09.2020, in this regard.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. A.G., Haryana, at the asking of

the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. Mukesh Singla, Advocate has appeared on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 and sent his Power of Attorney, through E-mail, which is taken on the record. He also submits that though petitioner No.1 has solemnized the marriage with petitioner No.2 against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 but they are not threatening her.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners restricts his prayer to issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation (Annexure P-7).

Learned counsel for the State has no objection for the same.

Accordingly, without expressing any opinion on the legality and validity of the marriage, as stated to have been solemnized between the petitioners, respondent No.2-the Superintendent of Police, District Ambala, is hereby directed to look into the said representation dated 16.09.2020 (Annexure P-7) and if it is found that the petitioners genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

This petition stands disposed of.

September 22, 2020

rittu

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No