

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Revision No. 1365 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 12.3.2020

Balvir Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

Neelam and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. R.D. Rattewal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Barjinder Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate,
for the respondents.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a revision that has been filed seeking to challenge the order dated 16.1.2018 by which the evidence of the petitioner was closed.

At the outset, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent—wife would submit that the main case itself stands decided by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, by order dated 17.9.2018. A copy of the order dated 17.9.2018 has been filed in Court today. The same is taken on record. The petitioner, in fact, has been proceeded ex-parte as would be evident from the order so passed.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the copy of the order passed by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, wherein the main petition filed under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal

Procedure itself stands decided in favour of the respondent—wife and the minor children.

In view of the above fact, no interference is called for in the instant revision. The revision petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

12.3.2020
prem

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No