

114 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-28774-2020(O&M)
Date of decision: 21.09.2020**

Sunita @ Sunita Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr.Vishal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Randhir Thind, DAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in a criminal case arising from FIR No.252, dated 31.8.2020, registered under Section 61 of Punjab Excise Act, 1914, at Police Station Dinanagar, District Gurdaspur.

As per the case of the prosecution, 40 bottles of illicit liquor were recovered from the house of the petitioner, although, she succeeded in escaping from the spot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is sought to be falsely implicated in the case and also, she is not involved in any other case.

This Court has considered the submissions. The liquor recovered from the house of the petitioner is illicitly distilled liquor. The prosecuting agency is required to investigate the source of the aforesaid

spurious liquor. Hence, no ground to grant the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

21.09.2020
rekha

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No