

(210) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

**Date of decision: 28.01.2020**

**1. CWP No.11653 of 2015**

Anil Gautam and Ors.

.... Petitioners

Versus

Pt. B.D. Sharma University of Health and  
Sciences, Rohtak and Ors.

.... Respondents

**2. CWP No.15339 of 2012**

Vijay Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

Pt. B.D. Sharma University of Health and  
Sciences, Rohtak and Ors.

.... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate for petitioner No.1 in  
CWP No.11653 of 2015.

Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate for petitioner Nos.4, 6, 7 and 8 in  
CWP No.11653 of 2015.

Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to  
3/University in CWP No.11653 of 2015 and CWP No.15339 of  
2012.

Mr. Ravi Partap Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

Mr. D.S. Patwalia, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Harsh Manocha,  
Advocate for the private respondents.

Mr. R.K. Kataria, Advocate for respondent No.7 in CWP  
No.11653 of 2015

Mr. Puneet Kansal, Advocate for respondent Nos.8, 9, 12, 17, 27, 29, 37, 41, 44, 45, 48, 50, 51, 59, 62, 65, 66, 67, 78 and 82 in CWP No.11653 of 2015.

Mr. P.K. Rohilla, Advocate for respondent No.83 in CWP No.11653 of 2015.

\*\*\*

**B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)**

[1] This order shall decide CWP Nos.11653 of 2015 and 15339 of 2012 as point in issue in both the cases is identical.

[2] Learned counsel for the respondent/University on instructions from the Registrar of the University states that in case the petitioners who have qualified the screening test submit documents in support of their candidature as per selection criteria stipulated by the respondent/University which could not be submitted by the petitioners earlier the respondent/University would consider and award marks to the petitioners as per their entitlement in terms of the criteria and would make the selection as per merit from amongst the posts remaining vacant.

[3] The same satisfies learned counsel for the petitioners.

[4] Accordingly, the writ petitions (i.e. CWP No.11653 of 2015 and CWP No.15339 of 2012) are disposed of in terms of the statement given by learned counsel for the respondent/University. Some of the petitioners as have cleared the screening test would submit the documents in support of their candidatures to the respondent/University within a period of two weeks from today, whereafter the respondent/University would consider and award marks to the petitioners as per their entitlement in terms of the criteria and consider the cases of the petitioners for selection and appointment in

accordance with law against the vacant posts within a period of three months thereafter.

[5] Since the main case has been disposed of, all pending applications stand disposed of.

28.01.2020  
amit

(B.S. Walia)  
Judge

1. Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable

:

Yes/No.