

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

CRM-M-28751-2020(O&M)

Date of Order:21.09.2020

SHYAM BANSALA

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

Hearing of the case was held through video conferencing on account of restricted functioning of the Courts.

The petitioner has been declared a proclaimed offender in as many as 5 criminal complaints filed against him U/s 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has already entered into a settlement in the aforesaid 5 criminal complaints filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881. He, hence, submits that the petitioner be granted concession of pre-arrest bail.

This court has considered the submissions .

A proclaimed offender is an absconder from law and therefore, does not deserve the concession of pre-arrest bail. Still further the petitioner has evaded the process of law for quite some time.

In view thereof, this court is not inclined to grant concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

September 21, 2020
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No