

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-14989-2020

Date of decision:-21.9.2020

Tejbir and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Keshav Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

Case taken up through video conferencing.

Petitioner Tejbir and 105 other persons working as Primary Teachers in various Government schools in the State of Haryana have filed the instant Civil Writ Petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India against respondents i.e. State of Haryana through the Principal Secretary, Government of Haryana, Education Department and Directorate of Elementary Education through Director Elementary Education seeking issuance of direction to respondents to grant arrears of pay from the date of first joining of petitioners and complete pay on

regular scale for the ad hoc period of service for the reasons that petitioners are regularly selected candidates and such benefits have been given to other similarly situated candidates.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners had served notice Annexure P12 dated 10.7.2020 upon the respondents before filing the present writ petition without evoking any response.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if the respondents are directed to consider the notice Annexure P12 and take necessary action in the matter within a fixed time frame.

Learned State counsel submits that the respondents would abide by any such order passed by this Court.

Accordingly, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and in order to avoid unnecessary litigation between the parties, the present writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider the grievances of the petitioners as contained in notice Annexure P12 and to take necessary action in the matter, if it is so warranted by facts and circumstances of the case, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Since the writ petition is not being disposed of on merits, the petitioners may take recourse to the legal remedy, in accordance with law, in case they still feel aggrieved by the decision taken by the respondents

on their notice.

21.9.2020
Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No