

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-15225-2020

Date of decision : 24.11.2020

Satnam Singh

...Petitioner

V/s

State Bank of India & ors.

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH**

Present: Ms. Parul Chadha, Advocate for
Mr. Shekhar Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rakshit Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the respondents-Bank.

RAJAN GUPTA J. (ORAL)

Case has been heard through Video Conferencing in view of
COVID-19 Pandemic.

At the outset, learned counsel appearing for the Bank submits that
the upfront amount due to the bank has been paid under the OTS scheme.
According to him, petition is, thus, rendered infructuous.

In view of statement made by counsel for the respondents-Bank,
present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

Petitioner shall, however, be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy,
in case any cause of action survives.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

November 24, 2020

Ajay

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No