

CRM-M-28575-2020
Date of Decision:18.09.2020

Baggad Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J.(ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through the instant petition, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.66 dated 08.06.2019, registered under Sections 313, 315, 323, 34 of IPC at Police Station Nehianwala, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present dispute has arisen out of a matrimonial dispute in the family. The complainant is the daughter-in-law of the petitioner and now a compromise dated 02.09.2020 (Annexure P-2) has been effected.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Harbir Sandhu, A.A.G, Punjab and Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate accept notice on behalf of respondent No.1 & respondent No.2/complainant, respectively, and have not disputed the factum of compromise.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the above, the present petition is allowed. The

petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when called for and if he is sought to be arrested, he shall be released on anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

18.09.2020
ps-I

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No