

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(212)

CRM-M-28505-2020

Date of Decision: October 26, 2020

Abhishek Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

(Through video conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate, and Mr. Jaspreet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking a direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 not to call the petitioner and harass him at the instance of respondent No.4.

After the notice of motion was issued, learned counsel for the respondents-State submits that respondent No.2 has no role to play as the proper authority is Senior Superintendent of Police, Khanna, which authority has not been impleaded in the petition. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that there are two police stations in Khanna i.e. Police Station City-I, Khanna and Police Station City-II, Khanna but the petitioner has not given the details as to which police station, the petitioner was being called and harassed.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that keeping in view the address given by the petitioner, the enquiry has been made from the relevant police station, which is Police Station City-I, Khanna and as per

his information, the petitioner has not been called at the said police station what to talk of harassment.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not wish to press this petition any further.

The present petition is disposed of having been not pressed.

In case, the petitioner has any grievance hereinafter, he is at liberty to approach the appropriate authority within the police authorities first raising the said grievance.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

October 26, 2020
harsha/naresh.k

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No