

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7344-2020

Date of decision : 22.09.2020

Harjinder Kaur

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

(Heard through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Ms. Ruby Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA (ORAL)

By way of the instant petition, the petitioner has sought the indulgence of this Court for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to protect her life and liberty as well as of her minor son as they apprehend threat to the same at the hands of respondents No.4 to 12, who are after their lives on account of her refusal to transfer her property in the names of respondents No.4 to 10. A further prayer has also been made to direct respondents No.2 and 3 to entertain her representation dated 04.10.2019 (Annexure P-4) as well as subsequent representation dated 27.08.2020 (Annexure P-6) and to investigate the matter and to take necessary action against the said respondents.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr.DAG, Punjab, at the asking of the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner restricts her prayer to issuance of a direction to respondent No.2 to take appropriate action on the said representation dated 27.08.2020 (Annexure P-6) so far as it pertains to the protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and her minor son only.

Learned counsel for the State has no objection for the same.

Accordingly, respondent No.2, i.e. Sr. Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, is hereby directed to look into the afore-said representation (Annexure P-6) so far as it pertains to the request/prayer of the petitioner for protection of her own as well as her minor son's life and liberty only and in case, it is found that the petitioner and her minor son genuinely deserve any protection, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law. It is clarified that this order shall, in no way, be construed to be an expression of the opinion of this Court on the merits of any other litigation initiated or pending between the parties qua the alleged property dispute between them.

This petition stands disposed of.

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

22.09.2020
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No