

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-28555-2020(O&M)
Date of decision: 18.09.2020**

Puran Singh @ Poori

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 124 dated 02.08.2020 registered under Section 61 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914, at Police Station Talwandi Chaudrian, District Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the alleged recovery effected from the petitioner was of a plastic can containing 31 bottles of illicit liquor.

It is further submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case due to previous enmity with the co-villagers.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and points out that 25 cases have been registered against the petitioner, out of which in 3 cases he has been acquitted.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner has been involved in a large number of cases. Thus, keeping in view that the petitioner is a habitual offender having repeated and continuous criminal antecedents, he does not deserve concession of the anticipatory bail.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

18.09.2020

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No