

CRM-M-29386-2020
Date of decision: 24.09.2020

Ramesh Kumari ...Petitioner

Versus

Ajay Kumar ...Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Vivek Puri

Present: Mr. Amit Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Som Nath Saini, Advocate
for the respondent.

(The case has been taken up through video conferencing on account of Covid-19 pandemic)

Vivek Puri J. (Oral)

The petitioner is the mother of respondent. She has already filed an application under Section 125 Cr.P.C. for grant of maintenance against the respondent in the Family Court, Panchkula.

The respondent in the said proceedings has filed a reply undertaking to pay a sum of Rs.20,000/- per month to the petitioner. However, it has been conceded that no order has been passed on the said undertaking by the Court concerned.

Mr. Som Nath Saini, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent and has stated that he stands by his undertaking to make payment of Rs.20,000/- per month to the petitioner.

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petition may be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach the

get appropriate order for maintenance/interim maintenance in terms of the reply already submitted by the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and without making any observation on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Family Court to look into the grievance of the petitioner expeditiously, in accordance with law and furthermore keeping in view the necessary instructions issued with regard to the restricted functioning of the Courts due to COVID-19 Pandemic.

[VIVEK PURI]
JUDGE

24.09.2020
hemlata

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No